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Purpose

• Highlight CWID state efforts to develop and implement reverse transfer
• Share lessons learned with the field
Credit When It’s Due (CWID)

Community college and university partnerships dedicated to awarding associate degrees to transfer students who complete their associate degree requirements while pursuing a bachelor’s degree.
16 CWID States
495 CWID institutions
2940 degrees by 2014
7367 degrees by 2015
12 States – legislation
12 non-CWID States exploring or planning
9 non-CWID States piloting or implementing
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What do I need to build the architecture at my campus

• Policy

• Technology

• Process and Procedure

• Know your ROI (data) before you start
Credit When It’s Due
University of Hawaii

Policy:

• Opt in versus opt out

• Memo from President
Technology:

1. Decentralized
2. Centralized
3. Cloud
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Technological Models for Implementing Reverse Transfer

DECENTRALIZED

CENTRALIZED

CLOUD

Legend:  Q = Identification  → = Transport  ☞ = Transfer Credit Eval Processing  ✔ = Degree Audit Processing

Please see other side for pros and cons →
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Procedure:
Graduation workflow UH CC including Reverse Transfer, Automatic Conferral and Graduation Success

Beginning Semester

Reverse transfer

Complete Standard 100%
Complete Using Global equivalence policy
Complete Using Global equivalence policy and Non Global Waivers/substitutes

Auto identification of certificates on path to degree completion CA,CC,CO

100% complete Degree requirements

Dropped out of 4 yr that are 1 to 3 credits from graduation

Current Process Student Petitions

Graduates

Mid-semester

Automatic Conferral

End Semester
Know your min ROI then matching it against resources will help define change.

- 4 year campus graduation rate
- Number transfer students coming into your 4 year campus
- 10% of your students graduate from a four year without meeting requirements for a 2 year ... you will get min ROI

("cohort based" to "steady state" analysis)
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Lessons Learned:

- Course by course articulation will yield 30% - 40% ROI
- Need competency or area equivalencies to get the remaining 60%-70% ROI
- Waivers substitutions at one campus hold for all campuses.
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Outcomes:

• Approximately 700 degrees awarded a year (steady state)

• 50 - 100 hours of work (system wide steady state)

• 25% jump in Associate degree awards at UH community Colleges.
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Future:

• Adding in other Associate degrees for Reverse Transfer (ASNS and AA Hawaiian emphasis)

• Optimal Point of transfer
Additional information
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decentralized Reverse Transfer</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                               | • Least amount of custom programming. (Quickest technically)  
• No single point of failure  
• No “system office” required for the consortium of campuses  
• Vendor can be used for the EDX or secure file transport protocol (SFTP) with XML standard  
• Same EDX process can be used to speed up their standard processing of admits with transfer work. | • Requires the most co-ordination between campuses on an ongoing semester by semester basis  
• Currently no EDX vendors have plugins to all the SIS system, however we can ask. E.g. the National Student Clearing house currently has a plugin for each of the main SIS’s to get enrollment information from the SIS. [http://www.studentclearinghouse.org/colleges/enrollment_reporting/software_vendors.php](http://www.studentclearinghouse.org/colleges/enrollment_reporting/software_vendors.php)  
• Will have to develop supplemental process for Global Competencies  
• May not have all the courses student took at institutions out of the state consortium | • Campuses have a transfer equivalency and degree audit system in their SIS |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mostly Centralized Reverse Transfer</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Centrally managed translates to less coordination and less work required at the campuses (especially 4 year campus) level on an ongoing basis then the decentralized model.</td>
<td>• Requires significantly more “custom programming” then the decentralized model, at both the campus level and then the system level programming needs to be performed.</td>
<td>• Campuses have a transfer equivalency and degree audit system in their SIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• It is building capacity for other process in the future eg (longitudinal data analysis)</td>
<td>• Requires a system office of sort</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Single point of failure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Will have to develop supplemental process for Global Competencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• May not have all the courses student took at institutions out of the state consortium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 100% Centralized Reverse Transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Most efficient model once implemented and running, very little coordination or work needed by any of the campuses involved, highly automated.</td>
<td>• There are no vendors except UH STAR currently offering model. A consortium of institutions are considering UHSTAR as they are all moving to the same base Student information platform.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• At its core can be used to create a student interface that is truly a “Academic Pathway system” for students in your consortium to move around seamlessly in real time with a pathway map.</td>
<td>• If campuses in the consortium are on different Student Information Platforms this model is gets more complicated.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Extremely robust data analysis. Very simple to manipulate information and run models to test student academic pathway theories.</td>
<td>• A single point of failure that is operating core institutional services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Can integrate global competencies into the automation</td>
<td>• Requires a system level office for the consortium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions & Answers